	Case 2:08-mj-03000-LOA	Document 3 Filed 02/		
WO	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT	COLIDIT DECEME	DGED DPY
		RICT OF ARIZONA		/P-3
	-		+ FEB 0 4 2008	
C	JNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER	CLERK US DISTRICT CO	DURT
	Online Americal - Description		BY	A EPUTY
	Orliu Arazola-Barel	Case Number:	08-3 <u>000M-001</u>	-1011
and was rep	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314 presented by counsel. I conclude by a prepore the defendant pending trial in this case.	42(f), a detention hearing was nderance of the evidence the	held on <u>2/4/08</u> . Defendant was produced by the defendant is a serious flight risk and or	present der the
	F	INDINGS OF FACT		
	reponderance of the evidence that:			
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appe	ear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.			
The at the time o	Court incorporates by reference the materia f the hearing in this matter, except as noted	l findings of the Pretrial Servic I in the record.	ces Agency which were reviewed by the	e Court
	CON	NCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendar No condition or combination of condition	nt will flee. ns will reasonably assure the	appearance of the defendant as requir	red.
		S REGARDING DETENTION		
appeal. The of the United	defendant is committed to the custody of the facility separate, to the extent practicable, from defendant shall be afforded a reasonable op States or on request of an attorney for the Gathe United States Marshal for the purpose of	om persons awaiting or servin portunity for private consultat Sovernment, the person in cha	ig sentences or being held in custody perion with defense counsel. On order of a	ending
	APPEALS A	ND THIRD PARTY RELEASI	E	
Court. Pursuservice of a constant	ORDERED that should an appeal of this de y of the motion for review/reconsideration to pant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective copy of this order or after the oral order is s Failure to timely file objections in accordar	e December 1, 2005, Defendated on the record within what	day prior to the hearing set before the E ant shall have ten (10) days from the c	District date of

DATE: 2-4-08

Lawrence O. Anderson

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Lawrence O. Anderson United States Magistrate Judge